

FILED

18 JUN -5 PM 3:38

1 Larry Richards, Pro Se, In Propria Persona, Counsel of Record for the Plaintiff: Larry Richards and IF APPOINTED
 2 PURSUANT TO THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT: Ms. Georgeana K. Roussos, Attorney-At-Law:
 (415) 252-1922; The Roussos Law Firm, 77 McAllister, Second Floor; San Francisco, California 94102

RICHARD W. WIEKING
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Larry Richards, Pro Se, In Propria Persona,
 2625 Alcatraz Avenue #317, Berkeley,
 California 94705-2702, AND IN CARE OF:
 Ms. Georgeana Roussos, 77 McAllister, Second
 Floor, San Francisco, California 94102,
 Plaintiff, Pro Se,

Vs.

11 1.) MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, COMMISSIONER,
 Social Security Administration, And
 12 2. -50.) DOE(S) defendants, named, unknown
 persons, In Care Of: UNITED STATES
 13 ATTORNEY, Attention: Social Security
 Defense Attorney, 450 Golden Gate, San
 Francisco, California 94102,
 Defendants, Et. Al.

Case No.: CV-08-1532MMC
 PJH ?
 OR SBA ?

No now it is MMC!!

Pro Se Plaintiff Larry Richards'

**PARTIAL motion for
 enlargement of time
 within which to file**

EITHER

**REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO FILE
 MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, or in
 the alternative MOTION FOR
 ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE
 NOTICE OF INTENT TO APPEAL OR IN
 THE ALTERNATIVE, NOTICE OF
 INTENT TO APPEAL BY RIGHT AND
 DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL**

Plaintiff's MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE:

COMES NOW, the absolutely stunned, shell-shocked, Pro Se, In Propria Persona, Plaintiff
 Larry Richards and HEREBY, STATES THAT this Honourable Court has willfully refused to
 observe the CLEAR FACTS of this case: and refuses to acknowledge that it is a Civil Rights
 case, which it is REQUIRED to HEAR; and clearly refuses to acknowledge that this FIRST
 AMENDMENT ABSOLUTE RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS
 OF GRIEVANCES!! AND PLAINTIFF WONDERS WHAT PART OF THE FIRST
 AMENDMENT THE COURT DOES NOT UNDERSTAND. PLAINTIFF IS ABSOLUTELY
 APOPLECTIC AND WONDERS IF THE JUDGE WHO HAS WILLFULLY DENIED
 PLAINTIFF'S ABSOLUTE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, WILL EVEN FAIRLY


1 CONSIDER A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. IF THE COURT WILL FAIRLY
 2 CONSIDER. A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION IT MAY CONSTRE THIS FILING AS
 3 A MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION – BUT
 4 THE PLAINTIFF MUST HAVE AN EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO WRITE
 5 SUCH RECONSIDERATION UNTIL AT LEAST AUGUST 1, 2008! PLAINTIFF IS SO
 6 APOPLECTIC WITH THIS JUDGE HE DOES NOT KNOW WHETHER TO APPEAL OR TO
 7 INCLUDE HER IN A NEW CIVIL SUIT BECAUSE OF HER ABSOLUTE FAILURE TO
 8 FOLLOW 42 USC, 1983, 1985, AND 1986 IN IT'S RACIST, DISCRIMINATORY REFUSAL
 9 TO PROTECT A DISABLED PERSON WHO IS ENTITLED TO SPECIAL PROTECTION
 OF THE COURT.

10 THE TIME-LIMITS VARY WIDELY AND PLAINTIFF IS UNABLE TO COMPLY
 11 WITH ANY OF THEM DUE TO THE SEVER STRESS AND APOPLECTIC RAGE THAT
 12 THIS COURT HAS SENT HIM INTO. IF THIS WERE A STRAIGHT APPEAL PLAINTIFF
 13 HAS 60 DAYS IF IT IS SOMETHING ELSE, FOR EXAMPLE IF THE COURT WILL
 14 FAIRLY ENTERTAIN A RULE 60 OR 59 MOTION; OR A REQUEST FOR
 15 RECONSIDERATION, THEN AGAIN THE TIME LIMITS ARE DIFFERENT. AND IN
 16 ANY CASE THE PLAINTIFF NEEDS AN EXTENSION OF TIME AND GUIDANCE AS TO
 17 WHAT THE COURT WILL FAIRLY HEAR. THEREFORE: PLAINTIFF ASKS: DO YOU
 18 WANT TO FAIRLY REEVALUATE THE HEINOUS DECISIONS THAT YOU HAVE
 19 MADE?; OR WOULD YOU PREFER TO JUST JOIN THE OTHER DEFENDANTS IN
 20 DESTROYING THIS INNOCENT PLAINTIFF?; OR DO YOU JUST WANT TO GO
 21 STRAIGHT TO APPEAL TO THE HIGHER COURT?; TELL ME WHAT YOU WANT TO
 22 HEAR AND PLAINTIFF WILL COMPLY!

22 THIS COMPLAINT IS STILL INCOMPLETE AND I AM UNDER TOO MUCH STRESS TO
 23 WRITE MORE AT THIS TIME, I WILL WRITE MORE AS I AM ABLE AND I RESERVE
 24 MY WRITE TO AMEND THIS COMPLAINT IN THE FUTURE.

24 **RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:**

25 All rights reserved over all of the above pursuant to UCC 1 – 207
 Signed, Sworn, and Dated in San Francisco, California on this
 Monday, the 26TH day of May, in the 2008th year of our Lord.

26 BY: 

27 Larry Richards, Pro Se, In Propria Persona, Counsel of Record for the Plaintiff: Larry Richa
 and IF APPOINTED PURSUANT TO THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT:

28 Ms. Georgeana K. Roussos, 77 McAllister, Second Floor; San Francisco, California 94102

©ENTIRE CONTENTS COPYWRITTEN BY AUTHOR LARRY RICHARDS © on 5/26/08 no portion may be used without expressed written
 permission of the author who can be contacted at the address above.